



**New Millennium Program**

## **New Millennium Program (NMP)**

### **Guidelines for Preparation of NMP Technology Validation Plans and Technology Validation Reports**


**February 11, 2004**

**Version 2**


New Millennium Program

Guidelines for Preparation of NMP Technology Validation Plans and Technology  
Validation Reports, Version 2

Approved:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John F. Stocky", written over a horizontal line.

John F. Stocky, Chief Technologist  
New Millennium Program

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Christopher M. Stevens", written over a horizontal line.

Dr. Christopher M. Stevens, Manager  
New Millennium Program

# **Guidelines for Preparation of NMP Technology Validation Plans and Technology Validation Reports**

## **1.0 Purpose:**

This document provides guidance for preparation of technology validation plans and technology validation reports for New Millennium Program (NMP) technology validation projects. Information provided in these guidelines is intended to assist technology providers in planning the preparation, update and release of these documents at appropriate milestones during the lifetime of the validation effort.

## **2.0 Background Information:**

The overall goal of NMP is to validate in space the performance of breakthrough technologies that will enable new capabilities needed for future NASA Earth and Space Science missions, where in-space testing is required for their validation. By so doing, the risk to the first users of these technologies is reduced and their incorporation in science missions is made easier and occurs sooner. Breakthrough technologies can also be selected for validation when their use will provide current capabilities at significantly reduced cost. In the NMP context, technology validation provides empirical evidence that the physics or underlying principles of the technology advance in question are understood. In many cases, analytical models and simulations are used to predict the performance of these technology advances on the ground as well as in space. The technology performance data obtained on a NMP flight project is unique to the space environment and can be neither duplicated by ground testing nor simulated with confidence on the ground. Flight validation may be used to refine algorithms and to determine accurate values of parameters used in the models and simulations. Validated analytical models of the technology advance permit the envelope of applicability to extend to future applications that are not identical to the flight experiment or to the current version of the technology. In all cases, the new technology and the performance advantage it offers relative to the current state-of-the-art (SOA) must be clearly described. The technology validation plan applies only to the technology advance and is neither a product development plan nor an end-item qualification plan.

Because the in-space validation of those breakthrough technology advances that require such testing is the focus of the NMP, the planning for such validation and its subsequent reporting is of vital interest. Without careful planning, the closely interrelated testing done on the Earth and in space, which together constitute the desired validation, risk being incomplete or redundant. Without a carefully considered report documenting and preserving the results of the validation program, the scarce resources invested in the validation of the technology advance could represent a lost investment and the infusion of the technology advance a lost opportunity. These guidelines are intended to help the technology provider develop a technology validation plan and report that will ensure the technology advance's rapid infusion.

**2.1 The Technology Validation Plan** is the key document that describes the validation activities on NMP flight projects and is appended to the project plan for each NMP flight project. A high-level overview of the technology validation plan is required at the end of the Concept Definition Phase, with a draft due at the project System Requirements Review (SRR), and an updated draft of the complete plan due at the project Preliminary Design Review (PDR). An approved version is due at the time of the Confirmation Review (CR). A detailed outline along with explanations of what information is required in each section of this plan is given in Table 1 of these guidelines.

The completed Technology Validation Plan is approved by the managing Project Manager and the NMP Manager.

**2.2 The Technology Validation Report** is a required deliverable at the conclusion of a NMP technology validation mission. Technology providers are required to prepare a technology validation report, present the validation results, and discuss potential future applications at a technology validation symposium (held no more than 6 months following completion of in-space validation) for the benefit of potential future users of the technology. This report will be distributed as part of the proceedings of the symposium. The outline of the technology validation report is the same as that of the technology validation plan except for the differences described in Table 1 of these guidelines. The Technology Validation Plan provides a description of what will be done to validate the technology under consideration. The Technology Validation Report is a detailed description of how the technology was validated and provides test data along with appropriate analyses as evidence of a successful technology validation effort. The Technology Validation Report is prepared in three steps with deliverables at key points during the project life cycle. The deliverable for the first step will contain the Cover Page, Table of Contents, Section 1, Section 2, and Section 3 (up through Section 3.1 with a description of appropriate ground test verification results) and will be delivered to NMP prior to the Critical Design Review during the Implementation Phase of the project. The deliverable for the second step (containing those items required for the first step plus appropriate updates to Section 3.1 to show successful achievement of TRL 6) is prepared and delivered to NMP following integration and test of the flight system (System Test). The deliverable for the final step (containing those items required for Step 2 plus the Executive Summary, Acknowledgments, Sections 3.2, 4, and 5 as well as references and appendices) is the completed report, prepared and delivered to NMP no more than 90 days following the completion of in-space validation of that technology. The schedule of deliverables for both the Technology Validation Plan and the Technology Validation Report is shown in Figure 1 of these guidelines

### 3.0 Additional guidelines for preparation of Technology Validation Reports

#### 3.1 Report Approval/Clearance

The completed Technology Validation Report is approved by the managing Project Manager and the NMP Manager.

The author of each technology validation report is responsible for obtaining the appropriate approvals and clearances through his/her organization. For those reports with several authors, each author is responsible for obtaining the appropriate approvals and clearances for his or her section of the report. Authors(s) must submit a copy of the completed clearance/approval forms to both the Project Office and the New Millennium Program Office before the technology validation reports can be published and distributed. Even if the information in these reports has already appeared in previous publications in the open literature, these technology validation reports require (for public release) the approvals and clearances by the organizations preparing the reports and of any government agencies sponsoring the development of the technology. Approval for public clearance must take into account compliance with International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) and Export Administration Regulations (EAR).

### 3.2 Report Format

A uniform organizational outline to be followed by all technology validation reports is shown in Table 1 of these guidelines. The length of the report (maximum desired length is approximately 30 pages) must be negotiated with the NMP project office. The cover page, table of contents, executive summary and optional appendices are not included in this page count. The report format must conform to the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) standards for publication in peer-reviewed journals and conference proceedings. These standards are available at the following URL:

<http://www.ieee.org/organizations/pubs/transactions/information.htm>

### 4.0 Technology Infusion:

Technology infusion refers to the subsequent use of a validated technology in a NASA science mission and is a key element of the New Millennium Program. The Technology Validation Report and the presentation of flight validation results to potential future users at the technology validation symposium are important aspects of the technology infusion process. Technology providers are expected to develop a list of potential future NASA users and to be familiar with their corresponding applications and requirements for the technology. Technology providers are also encouraged to seek applications of their technologies with non-NASA users as well. The NMP program desires to be kept informed of future applications of technologies validated on NMP flight projects.

### 5.0 References

Detailed definitions of technology readiness levels (TRLs) used by NMP are found at the following URL:

<http://nmp.jpl.nasa.gov/program/program-documents.html>

Table 1. Outlines for Technology Validation Plan and Technology Validation Report

Section	Technology Validation Plan	Technology Validation Report	Section Content
Cover Page	Req'd	Req'd	Indicates the name of the technology being validated; and the authors(s) name, affiliation, phone number and e-mail address. Authors may add organizational logos and a graphic illustration of the technology.
Table of Contents	Req'd	Req'd	
Executive Summary	N/A	Req'd	Provides a brief description of the technology validated on the flight project, the risks associated with the technology, validation objectives and approach, the test program, a brief summary of test results, and a brief summary of technology applicability and potential benefit to future NASA science missions. This summary should be no more than three pages long.
1.0 Introduction	Req'd	Req'd	Describes the technology advance in sufficient detail so that a non specialist will understand (a) what it is and what is new about it, (b) principles of operation, (c) the specific performance advance beyond the current state-of-the-art (briefly describe the current state-of-the-art), (d) the rationale for flight validation in space to reduce risk to the first operational user, and (e) benefits to NASA Space Science and Earth Science Missions by which is meant a quantitative estimate of the benefit(s) to accrue to a Space Science or Earth Science mission choosing to use this specific technology advance. For system technology validation missions, include items (a) – (e) above for the overall system and for each technology to be validated, describe how each technology contributes to the overall mission, and describe any interactions between the technologies.
2.0 Validation objective and Approach	Req'd	Req'd	States the specific validation objective in terms of technology performance in the relevant space environment. Describe the parameters to be measured or calculated (in both ground and space environments) to support this objective and to be correlated with technology performance models. Clearly describe the Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs) for this technology and how the defined parameters will be used to justify achievement of each TRL. An overview of the Technology Validation Plan is required at the end of the project Concept Definition Phase, and the technology must be at TRL 4 or higher at that time. Emphasis is placed on clear descriptions of TRLs 5 and 7. In each case the test item is to be defined, the test environment is to be specified and where appropriate the “relevant environment” delineated, and the success criteria for the tests identified so as to describe the “exit criteria” for each technology readiness level. Detailed definitions of TRLs and relevant environments are found at the URL listed in Section 5 of these Guidelines. For each technology, describe the measurements that allow the project-level success criteria to be verified and the relationship of the measurements to the defined parameters (if different). In addition, define the success criteria for each technology advance, and describe the plan to verify how these success criteria will be achieved. Define applicable predictive models which will be used in the technology validation and explain how these models will be used.

Table 1. Outlines for Technology Validation Plan and Technology Validation Report (cont'd)

Section	Technology Validation Plan	Technology Validation Report	Section Content
3.0 Testing 3.1 Ground test 3.2 Flight test	Req'd	Req'd	Contains detailed descriptions of the required data and the associated ground and flight tests needed to determine the values of the parameters used in the validation of the technology advance. Where possible, list the expected values (or ranges of values) of parameters to be determined. Present the tests in a logical sequence of execution. Those tests/data used to justify achievement of TRLs 5, 6 and 7 with emphasis on TRLs 5 and 7, must be clearly identified and justified). Describe the ground tests and flight tests in separate sections. Clearly identify those instances where the results of ground test and flight test are to be compared. Test results, data analysis and correlation with performance models are discussed under Section 4. The manner in which the data taken during ground testing and that obtained from in-space experiments are mutually supportive is to be made explicit and clear.
4.0 Technology Validation Summary	N/A	Req'd	Summarizes the results obtained during both ground test and flight test in this section of the Technology Validation Report. Document the test data in Appendix A of the Report. Using the full success criteria and relevant environments discussed under Section 2 above, discuss the specific results used to justify achievement of TRLs 5 and 7. Also, discuss the comparison of results from ground and flight tests. Correlate the test results with performance prediction models. Identify and discuss in detail anomalies and surprises if these occurrences lead to alterations in the performance models. Discuss achievement of success or partial success. Clearly document the range of operating conditions over which the performance models can be expected to provide valid predictions for this technology.
5.0 Technology infusion	Req'd	Req'd	The Technology Validation Report and Presentation at the Project Technology Validation Symposium are considered part of technology infusion. <u>Provide in the Technology Validation Plan a schedule that shows the activities for preparation of the Technology Validation Report and for the presentation of results at the Technology Validation Symposium.</u> If applicable, describe future strategies for incorporating this technology into other NASA as well as non-NASA programs. In addition, prepare a technology summary for the NASA Advanced Technology and Missions Studies (AT&MS) Database. The NMP Office will provide the forms for this short summary.
Acknowledgments	N/A	Req'd	Acknowledges the individuals and organizations may have been involved in the technology validation efforts.
References	Optional	Req'd	
Appendices	N/A	Req'd	Appendix A should contain the experimental results from both ground and flight tests. Technology suppliers may wish to include additional appendices if this additional information will clarify or support the discussions in previous sections .




Figure 1. Typical New Millennium Project Milestones and Time Phasing of Deliverables for Technology Validation Plan and Technology Validation Report.